



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,315	03/10/2004	Daniel ManHung Wong	QR03-15501	1742

51067 7590 09/28/2007  
ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION  
c/o PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP  
2820 FIFTH STREET  
DAVIS, CA 95618-7759

EXAMINER
----------

RAAB, CHRISTOPHER J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2166

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/28/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/800,315		WONG, DANIEL MANHUNG	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher J. Raab		2166	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher J. Raab. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tony P. Jones (Applicant's Representative). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1-20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chaudhuri (US Patent 7,194,451), Chidlovskii (US Patent 6,347,314).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representative argued that neither prior art reference discloses features of the claimed invention. More specifically, the limitation of "parsing the database queries to produce a set of valid signatures and storing the valid signatures in the signature cache". Although agreement was not reached as to allowable subject matter, the Examiner proposed an amendment. Agreement was reached that the claim, if amended to incorporate the limitations of how the query is parsed at the database into how the query is parsed during the signature cache creation process, that it would be strong enough to overcome the Chidlovskii reference used to reject that part of the claim..